



Breastfeeding Duration and Cardiometabolic Health during Adolescence: A Longitudinal Analysis

Abeer A. Aljahdali, PhD^{1,2}, Alejandra Cantoral, DSc³, Karen E. Peterson, DSc^{2,4}, Wei Perng, PhD⁵, Adriana Mercado-García, MPH⁶, Martha M. Téllez-Rojo, PhD⁶, Claudia Ivonne Ramírez-Silva, PhD⁶, and Erica C. Jansen, PhD²

Objective To investigate the longitudinal association between breastfeeding duration and cardiometabolic health, using repeated measures study design among children and adolescents.

Study design This study included 634 offsprings aged 10 to 21 years (52% female) from the Early Life Exposure in Mexico to Environmental Toxicants birth cohort followed up to four time points during adolescence. Breastfeeding duration was prospectively quantified using questionnaires during early childhood. Cardiometabolic risk factors, body composition, and weight-related biomarkers were assessed as outcomes during adolescent follow-up visits. Sex-stratified linear mixed-effects models were used to model the association between quartiles of breastfeeding duration and outcomes, adjusting for age and additional covariates.

Results Median breastfeeding duration was 7 months (minimum = 0, maximum = 36). Boys in the second quartile (median breastfeeding = 5 months) had lower total fat mass % (β (SE) -3.2 (1.5) $P = .037$), and higher lean mass % (3.1 (1.6) $P = .049$) and skeletal muscle mass % (1.8 (0.8) $P = .031$) compared with the reference group (median breastfeeding = 2 months). A positive linear trend between breastfeeding duration and trunk lean mass % (0.1 (0.04) $P = .035$) was found among girls. No association was found with other cardiometabolic indicators.

Conclusion Despite sex-specific associations of breastfeeding duration with body composition, there was a lack of substantial evidence for the protective effects of breastfeeding against impaired cardiometabolic health during adolescence among Mexican youth. Further longitudinal studies with a robust assessment of breastfeeding are recommended. (*J Pediatr* 2024;265:113768).

Breastfeeding is a gold clinical standard for infant feeding and nutrition.¹⁻⁴ Breastfeeding not only has favorable short-term outcomes for the infants and their mothers,⁵ but also infancy is a crucial period for preventing obesity and its consequences.⁶ Obesity is associated with the risk and prevalence of impaired cardiometabolic health,⁷⁻¹⁰ which have been documented among children¹¹⁻¹⁷ and shown to track to adulthood.^{9,16,18-21} Therefore, identifying the early determinants of cardiometabolic abnormalities is a fundamental step for risk reduction and prevention,^{9,22} and targeting childhood obesity is one proposed preventive measure.¹⁰

Breastfeeding has been shown to be a protective factor against childhood obesity,^{6,23-27} and it has potential protective effects against coronary heart disease incidence and mortality.²⁸ Yet, studies examining the association between breastfeeding and youth cardiometabolic health reported conflicting results, ranging from protective effects for a few youth cardiometabolic health indicators^{25,29-51} or null findings.^{25,38,52-70} Thus, limited evidence is available on breastfeeding and youth cardiometabolic health.^{39,51} Moreover, most studies conducted were cross-sectional or retrospective cohort studies,⁵¹ which hindered drawing a conclusive statement,³⁹ and only standard cardiometabolic risk factors were assessed.

The present study aimed to assess the longitudinal associations between breastfeeding duration and repeated measures of cardiometabolic health among

From the ¹Department of Clinical Nutrition, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ²Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; ³Department of Health, Iberoamericana University, Mexico City, Mexico; ⁴Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; ⁵Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO; and ⁶Center for Nutrition and Health Research, National Institute of Public Health, Cuernavaca, Mexico

There are no prior publications or submissions with any overlapping information, including studies and patients. However, we declare a poster presentation at the American Society of Nutrition Conference in 2019, titled "The Association Between Breastfeeding and Body Composition During Adolescence," which investigated the sex-specific associations between breastfeeding duration and body composition only at one time point during adolescence using linear regression models. (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2475299123156976?via%3Dihub>).

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. © 2023 Published by Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2023.113768>

DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
ELEMENT	Early Life Exposure in Mexico to Environmental Toxicants
HDL-C	High density lipoprotein cholesterol
HOMA-IR	Homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance
IGF-1	Insulin-like growth factor 1
LDL-C	Low density lipoprotein cholesterol
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
TC	Total cholesterol
TG	Triglycerides
WC	Waist circumference

Mexican children and adolescents. We assessed multiple cardiometabolic health indicators, including: waist circumference (WC), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), fasting glucose, insulin, insulin resistance, body composition (total fat, lean, skeletal muscle, fat-free, trunk fat, and lean mass), and weight-related biomarkers (C-peptide, leptin, insulin-like growth factor 1 [IGF-1], adiponectin).

Methods

Study Sample

A well-characterized birth cohort, the Early Life Exposure in Mexico to Environmental Toxicants (ELEMENT) project in Mexico City, Mexico, is the basis of the children and adolescents' sample in the current study.^{71,72} A description of the ELEMENT project was published elsewhere.⁷³ In short, between 1994 and 2004, mother/child dyads ($n = 1643$) from prenatal clinics in low-to moderate-income populations were recruited.⁷⁴ A self-reported sociodemographic questionnaire was collected from mothers during childbirth. The ELEMENT project consists of three birth cohorts. Recruited mothers for two of the birth cohorts were enrolled in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) examining the role of calcium supplementation (1200 mg/day) in mitigating the effect of lead exposure on the offspring's neurobehavioral and physical developmental outcomes during lactation (Cohort 1) and pregnancy (Cohort 3). Cohort 2, on the other hand, was a cross-sectional study of pregnant women in their first trimesters and mothers at childbirth, who were recruited to assess the impact of lead exposure on offspring's neurocognitive outcomes.⁷³ Offspring were followed until four (Cohort 1) or 5 years of age (Cohort 2 and Cohort 3). Specifically, follow-up visits were conducted for Cohort 1 at 1, 4, 7, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, and 48 months postpartum, and for Cohort 2 and Cohort 3 at 3, 6, 7, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months postpartum. Information about breastfeeding duration and feeding practices was collected at each of these follow-up visits.

Moreover, research staff followed the offspring on multiple study visits during their childhood and adolescence and gathered data about growth, nutrition, health, and other factors. The available funds and aims of each follow-up study were the major determining factors for the sample size for each follow-up study visit. Additionally, the research team prioritized younger children and children who had an available birth biospecimens at some of the follow-up visits. In 2008, the first childhood follow-up visit (known for the purposes of this secondary analysis as study visit 1) was conducted with a sample size of 828 children recruited from the original three cohorts. The second follow-up visit (study visit 2) was conducted in 2011, and the sample size was 250 children from Cohort 2 and Cohort 3; these children were given priority due to their available prenatal biological samples.⁷³ In 2015, the third follow-up visit (study visit 3), 554 children were recruited, prioritizing the 250 subjects recruited in

study visit 2 (~90% returned) and additional children from the original Cohort 2 and Cohort 3. The last follow-up visit (study visit 4) was conducted in 2018, where ~94% of the participants enrolled in study visit 3 returned. In this study, data were used from four utilized follow-up study visits. **Figure 1**, online illustrates the study design, sample size, and the time for assessing each outcome.

The current analysis included singleton and full-term infants (≥ 37 weeks of gestation),^{44,75,76} who have information about breastfeeding duration, and at least 1 of the outcomes of interest at any of the four follow-up visits. Therefore, our sample included 309 boys and 325 girls who had either cardiometabolic risk factors (WC, SBP, DBP, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, TC, glucose, insulin, Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR)), body composition (total fat, lean, skeletal muscle, fat-free, trunk fat, and lean mass), or body weight related biomarkers (C-peptide, leptin, IGF-1, adiponectin). The ELEMENT project was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Michigan (IRB # HUM00034344) and the National Institute of Public Health of Mexico (IRB# CI599207112010, CI599915102014). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. The research team collected written informed consent and assent from mothers and adolescents, respectively, upon their enrollment.

Outcomes

Anthropometry and body composition: Trained research staff collected duplicate measurements for body weight (kilograms) to the nearest 0.1 kg and height (centimeter) to the nearest 0.5 cm in study visit 1 and study visit 2 using a digital scale (BAME Model 420; Catálogo Médico/Tanita Co. with height rod (model WB-3000m⁷⁷). Body weight and body composition were measured at study visit 3 and study visit 4 using the body composition device Inbody (model 230). For WC(cm) duplicate measurements were also performed to the nearest 0.1 cm using a nonstretchable measuring tape (SECA (model 201⁷⁷⁷⁸

Cardiometabolic biomarkers: Duplicate readings for SBP and DBP were recorded with participants in a seated position using a mercury sphygmomanometer (TXJ - 10 MD 3000 model, Homecare). The average of the two measurements was used for the analysis. Fasting blood samples for ≥ 8 hours were used to analyze serum glucose via automated chemiluminescence immunoassay (Immolute 1000; Siemens Medical Solutions),⁷⁸ and TG and HDL-C using a biochemical analyzer (Cobas Mira Plus; Roche Diagnostics).⁷⁸ LDL-C was calculated as follows ($TC - (TG/5) - HDL-C$).⁷⁹ Levels of insulin were quantified via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay chemiluminescence method with IMMULITE® 1000 equipment.⁷⁷ HOMA-IR was calculated as $[\text{fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)} \times \text{fasting serum insulin (mU/l)}] / 22.5$ ⁸⁰; higher values represent low insulin sensitivity/insulin resistance.⁸⁰

Other biomarkers: IGF-1 was analyzed via chemiluminescent immunoassay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc.),

leptin via leptin radioimmunoassay kit (Millipore Corporation), adiponectin using the adiponectinradioimmunoassay kit (EMD Millipore Corporation), and C-peptide via an automated chemiluminescence immunoassay (Immulite 1000; Siemens Medical Solutions).

Breastfeeding Duration

Breastfeeding duration was the primary exposure, and it was calculated using mothers' self-reported information collected during infancy and early childhood follow-up visits. Information about breastfeeding duration was reported in months at each of the follow-up visits by asking mothers "Are you breastfeeding now?", and if no, mothers were asked "When did you stop?" at each of the follow-up visits.⁸¹ The duration of breastfeeding was estimated in months from the first visit the mother reported not breastfeeding her infant.⁸¹

Potential Confounders. Based on prior knowledge, potential confounders assessed for this research were classified as 1) childbirth and early life characteristics, which included gestational age, mode of delivery, birth weight, and mothers' age, marital status, parity, years of education, and enrollment in any of the ELEMENT RCTs (Cohort 1, Cohort 3), and 2) follow-up characteristics for the children, which were age and pubertal onset.

After childbirth, mothers reported information, including their ages, marital status (married, or others—includes free union, single, separated, and divorced), parity status, including the current pregnancy (1, 2, or ≥ 3), and years of education (years) (<12 , 12, or >12), and mode of delivery (vaginal or cesarean section). Gestational age (weeks) was estimated by a registered nurse. Because some of the recruited mothers for the ELEMENT project were enrolled in two RCTs of calcium supplementation either during the first trimester of pregnancy until 1-year postpartum (Cohort 3) or during lactation (Cohort 1), we assessed if the enrollment in the RCT (none or control group, during pregnancy, or lactation) was a significant covariate in our models.^{72,73}

During the first year of life, mothers were asked about the age of introducing infant formula and a limited list of foods and drink to their infants' diet. The list of foods and drinks were 1) tea with or without sugar, 2) fruit juice, 3) broth, 4) atole prepared with milk (a corn-based beverage prepared with milk), 5) boiled water with or without sugar, and 6) other foods. Age at introducing foods and drinks (months) was calculated by the earliest time when any of these foods or drinks were given to the infant. Age at introducing foods, drinks, or infant formula (months) was calculated by the earliest time any of these items were given. During the four follow-up visits, puberty was assessed through self-reported Tanner staging for breast and pubic hair (for girls), or genitalia and pubic hair (for boys) to assess pubertal status.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ Following the same approach as previous ELEMENT publications, pubertal onset was classified as a binary indicator, by the earliest visit when children demonstrated Tanner Stage > 1 for pubic hair or genital development (boys), or pubic hair and breast development (girls).⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷

Statistical Analysis

Demographic characteristics of the study participants were presented as mean (SD) for continuous variables and frequency (proportions) for categorical variables. All subjects with available data in each model were included; thus, we have a various number of repeated measures for each subject. Linear mixed effects models with compound symmetry error structure were conducted to examine the relationship between breastfeeding duration and the outcomes while accounting for the study design. Breastfeeding duration was categorized into quartiles to examine nonlinear associations. The median breastfeeding duration (months) at each quartile was assigned to the quartiles. Additionally, we assessed the P for trend across quartiles by modeling the categorized quartiles as a continuous exposure. We log-transformed a few outcomes (ie, TG, glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, C-peptide for boys and girls, and leptin for boys only) as their residuals from the linear mixed effects models indicated skewness. Residuals of the final models were assessed for the model assumptions. Findings are presented as a beta estimate (SE) (β (SE)), and P .

We conducted a sex-stratified analysis due to the plausible differences in cardiometabolic health and body composition during the pubertal transition. The crude model included quartiles of the breastfeeding duration, and fully adjusted models include the child's age at each follow-up visit and pubertal onset and any covariates that were considered potential confounders among our study sample. Selection of potential confounders was guided by prior knowledge and their association with the sex-specific median of breastfeeding duration (<7 months, or ≥ 7 months). The associations were assessed either via the independent sample t test or Mann Whitney U test for continuous variables that were normally and non-normally distributed, respectively, and the chi-squared test for categorical variables. A P of $< .20$ was used as a cut-off for including confounders in our models. Crude and adjusted models have the same number of participants because we excluded subjects who had missing information for any covariates included in the fully adjusted model. As a sensitivity analysis, we adjusted for age at introducing foods, drinks, or milk formula because of their potential to influence the outcomes,^{88,89} which had no notable change in either magnitude or significance of the associations (data not shown). SAS statistical software package, version 9.4, was used for analyses (SAS Corp), and P of $< .05$ was considered as indicative of statistically significant associations.

Results

The present study included 634 children and adolescents; of whom 307 (48%) and 327 (52%) were boys and girls, respectively. **Table 1**, online shows the descriptive information around the time of childbirth for mothers and their children. More than one-half of the mothers enrolled in our study had less than 12 years of education. Mother's

Table II. Youth characteristics of the ELEMENT analytic sample stratified by study visits

	Study visit 1		Study visit 2		Study visit 3		Study visit 4	
	Boys (n = 93)	Girls (n = 84)	Boys (n = 107)	Girls (n = 124)	Boys (n = 242)	Girls (n = 261)	Boys (n = 221)	Girls (n = 251)
Child characteristics								
Age, (years)	13.32 (2.56)	13.2 (2.49)	10.36 (1.63)	10.3 (1.73)	14.52 (2.05)	14.52 (2.17)	16.45 (2.04)	16.48 (2.2)
Pubertal onset, %	82 (88.17) ¹	75 (89.29) ²	94 (87.85)	76 (61.29)	242 (100)	256 (98.08) ³	221 (100)	249 (99.20) ²
Cardiometabolic risk factors								
WC, (cm)	72.89 (12.06) ⁴	69.08 (10.83) ³	70.08 (9.81)	72.04 (11.31)	78.5 (11.29)	80.47 (11.2)	83.02 (11.56)	87.63 (11.37)
SBP, (mmHg)	94.71 (11.51) ⁴	90.43 (7.73) ³	104 (10.36)	101.52 (10.04)	100.59 (10.27)	97.05 (9.04)	103.82 (10.26)	99.59 (8.93) ⁴
DBP, (mmHg)	59.27 (9.6) ⁴	57.52 (6.92) ³	65.44 (7.52)	65.63 (7.33)	63.96 (7.11)	62.34 (6.54)	65.17 (7.63)	63.32 (6.68) ⁴
TC, (mg/dL)	N/A	N/A	150.49 (27.34) ⁴	158.23 (27.67) ⁴	150.1 (26.17) ⁵	161.78 (26.71) ⁵	146.74 (28.26) ⁷	157.28 (26.03) ⁸
TG, (mg/dL)	104.51 (56.18) ⁹	112.09 (60.25) ¹⁰	75.13 (36.9) ⁴	98.59 (48.13) ⁴	96.83 (51.91) ⁵	110.1 (60.68) ⁶	102.34 (52.01) ⁷	108.91 (48.27) ⁸
HDL-C, (mg/dL)	46.81 (13.04) ⁹	45.16 (10.96) ¹⁰	60.25 (12.25) ⁴	57.21 (11.94) ⁴	41.81 (7.83) ⁵	44.29 (9.46) ⁶	43.61 (8.05) ⁷	45.56 (10.11) ⁸
LDL-C, (mg/dL)	N/A	N/A	75.22 (23.69) ⁴	81.3 (21.91) ⁴	88.92 (21.02) ⁵	95.46 (21.06) ⁶	94.84 (29.81) ⁷	101.45 (24.6) ⁸
Glucose, (mg/dL)	91.84 (13.54) ⁹	89.87 (16.7) ¹⁰	87.56 (7.59) ⁴	86.36 (10.69) ⁴	78.66 (7.38) ⁵	76.86 (7.09) ⁶	91.38 (9.7) ⁷	88.64 (7.2) ⁸
Insulin, (μIU/mL)	7.67 (3.67) ¹¹	11.74 (12.4) ¹²	3.99 (7.55) ¹³	7.44 (12.37) ¹⁴	17.65 (10.03) ⁵	20.48 (13.49) ⁶	17.6 (12.6) ¹⁵	20.85 (12.47) ⁸
HOMA-IR	1.79 (0.92) ¹¹	3.41 (4.78) ¹²	0.91 (1.7) ¹³	1.93 (4.08) ¹⁴	3.46 (2.06) ⁵	3.92 (2.59) ⁶	4.02 (3.0) ¹⁵	4.6 (2.8) ⁸
Body weight-related biomarkers								
C-peptide, (ng/mL)	N/A	N/A	1.63 (1.19) ⁴	1.91 (1.28) ⁴	2.14 (1.19) ⁵	2.42 (1.17) ⁶	2.43 (1.47) ⁷	2.78 (1.41) ⁸
Leptin, (ng/mL)	N/A	N/A	8.26 (6.52) ⁴	14.32 (10.22) ⁴	14.07 (12.69) ⁵	33.96 (17.46) ⁶	N/A	N/A
IGF-1, (ng/mL)	N/A	N/A	233.24 (102.71) ⁴	281.77 (105.86) ⁴	319.84 (87.93) ⁵	365.7 (92.85) ⁶	265.55 (65.7) ⁷	274.51 (72.1) ⁸
Adiponectin, (ng/mL)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11 377.6 (4085.5) ⁵	12 147.68 (4005.48) ⁶	9626.24 (3919.67) ¹⁵	10 449.81 (3818.05) ⁸
Body composition								
Fat mass, (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.13 (8.87) ⁴	32.02 (7.95) ⁴	20.16 (8.08)	34.35 (7.64) ⁴
Trunk fat mass, (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.77 (5.33) ³	15.81 (4.86) ²	9.79 (5.13)	17.44 (4.42) ⁴
Lean mass, (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	74.36 (8.45) ¹⁸	63.92 (7.45) ¹⁹	77.71 (6.35) ²⁰	64.71 (3.38) ²¹
Muscle mass, (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	43.21 (5.15) ⁴	36.35 (3.95) ⁴	44.5 (4.4)	35.42 (3.91) ⁴
Lean trunk mass, (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35.38 (3.98) ³	31.26 (2.8) ²	36.51 (3.59)	30.94 (2.79) ⁴
Fat-free mass, (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67.97 (16.95) ²²	71.22 (15.59) ²¹	79.73 (8.13) ²³	65.51 (7.74) ²⁴

Means (SD), or frequency (percentage) are presented for continuous or categorical variables, respectively.

Number of missing values 1.n = 6; 2.n = 2; 3.n = 3; 4.n = 1; 5. n = 64; 6.n = 77; 7.n = 57; 8.n = 75; 9.n = 50; 10.n = 29; 11.n = 86; 12.n = 76; 13.n = 87; 14.n = 72; 15.n = 59; 16.n = 24; 17.n = 28; 18.n = 4; 19.n = 5; 20.n = 212; 21.n = 241; 22.n = 224; 23.n = 9; 24.n = 11.

mean age at childbirth was 26 years, and approximately 40% of them had no previous live births. Vaginal delivery was the common type of childbirth reported in our study (approx. 2/3 of births) (**Table I**, online). Median breastfeeding duration was 7 months (minimum = 0, maximum = 36), and a mean (SD) duration of follow-up was approximately 3 years (2.39) ranged from 0-8 years (Data not shown).

Table II presents the cardiometabolic health outcomes assessed in the study. Cardiometabolic risk factors were collected at the four follow-up visits in adolescence, except for TC and LDL-C which were collected at study visit 2 onward. For body weight-related biomarkers, study visit 2 was the starting point for collection, except for adiponectin, which was collected at study visit 3 and study visit 4 only. Body composition was assessed at study visit 3, and study visit 4 (**Table II**). Mean (SD) age in years at follow up study visits (each with different sample sizes) were 13 (3), 10 (2), 15 (2), and 16 (2).

Table I, online shows the selection of the covariates included in adjusted models. Among boys and girls, the mother's marital status was associated with breastfeeding duration; married women had a higher tendency of breastfeeding for 7 months or more. Among boys, gestational age was marginally higher among those had above median breastfeeding duration ($P = .0594$). Among girls, mothers who underwent cesarean section childbirth or were a first-time mother were likely to have shorter breastfeeding duration. Therefore, the mother's marital status and gestational age were included in the fully adjusted model for boys, and the mother's marital status, mode of childbirth, and parity status were included in the fully adjusted model for girls. In addition to these covariates, we adjusted for child's age at each follow-up visit and pubertal onset (**Table I**).

Tables III and **IV** illustrate the longitudinal associations between breastfeeding duration and cardiometabolic health among boys and girls, respectively. A few significant associations with small effect sizes were detected for body composition parameters. Boys in the second quartile of breastfeeding (median breastfeeding duration = 5 months) had lower total fat % (β (SE)) (-3.22 (1.54)) ($P = .0372$), but higher lean % (3.09 (1.56)) ($P = .0486$) and skeletal muscle mass % (1.79 (0.82)) ($P = .0486$) compared with boys in the reference group (median breastfeeding duration = 2 months). No associations were significant at higher quartiles (**Table III**). Moreover, girls in the fourth quartile (median breastfeeding duration = 14 months) had higher trunk lean % (1.09 (0.52)) ($P = .0370$) compared with girls in the reference group (median breastfeeding duration = 2 months). A positive linear trend between breastfeeding duration and trunk lean % (0.08 (0.04)) $P = .0350$, was detected comparing the fourth to first quartile among girls (**Table IV**). No significant associations, including linear trends, were found between breastfeeding duration and other cardiometabolic risk factors (**Table III** and **Table IV**).

Discussion

In the current analysis using a longitudinal repeated measures study design of 634 Mexican youths aged 10 to 21 years, we examined the sex-stratified relationship between quartiles of breastfeeding duration and multiple measure of cardiometabolic health. Weak favorable evidence for breastfeeding duration was detected for total fat, lean, and skeletal mass among boys and for lean trunk mass among girls. Nevertheless, both crude and fully adjusted models showed a lack of any favorable long-term effect of breastfeeding duration on other cardiometabolic outcomes. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this study is the first prospective study investigating the long-term effects of breastfeeding duration on holistic cardiometabolic health indicators using repeated measure design among Mexican youth.

Our primarily null associations between breastfeeding duration and youth cardiometabolic health indicators corroborate prior conclusions derived from multiple studies on different populations.^{25,38,52-70} However, our null conclusions are in conflict with those who reported beneficial associations for some indicators of cardiometabolic health.^{25,29-51} It is worth noting that contrasting between studies is a crude comparison due to the heterogeneities across studies in study design, age of assessing the outcomes, characteristics of the studied population, and assessment of the exposure and outcomes. Nevertheless, our null conclusion reinforces a lack of strong evidence for the long-term benefits for breastfeeding on youth cardiometabolic health distilled from systematic reviews.^{39,51}

Our data showed a few significant associations with small effect sizes were detected for the association between breastfeeding duration and body composition parameters in boys and girls. We acknowledge the small effect size is consistent with the small effect size in other studies showed favorable impact of breastfeeding on cardiometabolic health.^{37,44} However, we propose the possibility of a false positive result for the body composition parameters due to the multiple comparisons, and because the protective effect on body composition is not supported by any of the biomarkers. Further studies are warranted to examine the effect of breastfeeding on body composition during adolescence.

Among our sample, 7 months of breastfeeding was the median duration, which is similar to the breastfeeding duration reported by other studies.^{37,90-92} However, the mean age for introducing foods, drinks, or infant formula was approximately 2 months, counter to public health recommendations. Other researchers also observed the early introduction of solid food before 6 months of age.^{64,88,93} These findings collectively raise a flag about the low adherence to the World Health Organization, the United Nations Children's Fund, and the American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations of at least 6 months of exclusive breastfeeding, defined as breastmilk as the only complete source of nutrition and hydration with no need for any other foods, liquids, or water.

Table III. Associations between cardiometabolic health and quartiles of breastfeeding duration among boys

Breastfeeding duration (months)*	SBP (mmHg) n = 270	DBP (mmHg) n = 270	Log TG (mg/dl) n = 214	HDL-C (mg/dl) n = 214	TC (mg/dl) n = 189	LDL-C (mg/dl) n = 189	Log glucose (mg/dl) n = 214	Log insulin (μIU/mL) n = 186	Log HOMA-IR n = 186	Log C-peptide (ng/mL) n = 189	Log leptin (ng/mL) n = 188	IGF-1 (ng/mL) n = 189	Adiponectin (ng/mL) n = 178	WC (cm) n = 270	Total fat (%) n = 215	Trunk fat (%) n = 215	Trunk lean (%) n = 215	Lean (%) n = 210	Skeletal muscle (%) n = 215	Fat-free (%) n = 196
Crude model†	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)
Q 1 = 2																				
Q 2 = 5																				
β	−1.9697	−1.4517	−0.00971	2.4870	−2.6607	−5.1576	−0.02635	−0.1208	−0.1466	−0.1648	−0.2718	−15.7525	60.3175	−2.9500	−3.4154	−1.8840	1.1653	3.4275	1.9182	3.2611
SE	1.5324	1.0372	0.08267	1.8752	5.1687	4.85	0.01547	0.1242	0.1260	0.09495	0.1542	12.9424	795.74	1.9273	1.5625	0.9740	0.6881	1.6369	0.8702	1.9157
P	.1998	.1629	.9066	.1862	.6073	.2898	.0900	.3319	.2462	.0842	.0796	.2252	.9397	.1270	.0299 §	.0544	.0918	.0375 §	.0286 §	0.0902
Q 3 = 8																				
β	0.7012	0.3517	−0.04699	2.7891	−3.7583	−6.4742	−0.02579	−0.1463	−0.1763	−0.05959	−0.1940	−12.3228	−241.72	−2.1682	−2.0963	−1.4565	0.5554	1.8680	1.0526	2.4983
SE	1.6438	1.1087	0.08724	1.9654	5.2840	4.9671	0.01605	0.1289	0.1309	0.09709	0.1567	13.2121	829.66	2.0759	1.6894	1.0531	0.7434	1.7631	0.9405	2.0806
P	.6700	.7513	.5907	.1574	.4778	.1940	.1099	.2580	.1795	.5401	.2171	.3523	.7711	.2972	.2160	.1681	.4558	.2906	.2643	0.2312
Q 4 = 13																				
β	0.6441	0.6154	0.1146	1.0200	3.1967	1.1347	−0.01771	−0.02110	−0.05441	−0.03936	−0.2826	−10.9346	−560.61	−1.1288	−3.4844	−1.6586	1.6046	4.2285	2.2905	2.4295
SE	1.5020	1.0138	0.08026	1.8163	5.0081	4.7066	0.01492	0.1204	0.1222	0.09198	0.1493	12.5213	776.94	1.8965	1.5240	0.9501	0.6707	1.5992	0.8483	1.8361
P	.6684	.5444	.1547	.5750	.5241	.8098	.2369	.8610	.6565	.6692	.0598	.3837	.4715	.5522	.0232 §	.0823	.0176 §	.0088 §	.0075 §	0.1873
Linear																				
β	0.1327	0.1104	0.01087	0.03686	0.3661	0.2321	−0.00097	0.000593	−0.00200	0.001466	−0.01906	−0.5990	−57.5805	−0.03002	−0.2390	−0.1093	0.1196	0.3052	0.1649	0.1483
SE	0.1302	0.08761	0.006941	0.1572	0.4309	0.4067	0.001294	0.01044	0.01061	0.007963	0.01283	1.0752	66.8943	0.1645	0.1327	0.08264	0.05826	0.1389	0.07391	0.1597
P	.3093	.2089	.1189	.8148	.3966	.5689	.4530	.9548	.8509	.8541	.1391	.5782	.3905	.8553	.0732	.1872	.0413 §	.0290 §	.0268 §	0.3543
Adjusted model†																				
Q 1 = 2	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)
Q 2 = 5																				
β	−1.8643	−1.3152	−0.01745	2.4405	−2.8259	−5.5226	−0.02445	−0.1494	−0.1767	−0.1566	−0.2713	−15.6505	412.30	−2.7415	−3.2190	−1.7816	1.0758	3.0922	1.7860	3.0288
SE	1.4930	0.9994	0.08134	1.9100	5.3137	4.6807	0.01559	0.1270	0.1308	0.09587	0.1622	12.6582	800.30	1.8729	1.5352	0.9738	0.6478	1.5588	0.8207	1.9032
P	.2130	.1894	.8304	.2028	.5955	.2395	.1184	.2408	.1786	.1042	0.0963	.2180	.6071	.1444	.0372 §	0.0687	0.0982	0.0486 §	0.0306 §	0.1131
Q 3 = 8																				
β	1.4703	0.9479	−0.03590	1.7633	−4.7571	−5.9787	−0.02226	−0.1249	−0.1524	−0.02396	−0.1721	−12.3919	−4.7246	−0.6601	−2.1044	−1.3323	0.7178	2.2011	1.2104	2.1901
SE	1.6054	1.0722	0.08568	2.0103	5.4299	4.7849	0.01621	0.1317	0.1360	0.09799	0.1650	12.9528	831.36	2.0201	1.6558	1.0500	0.6981	1.6706	0.8849	2.0698
P	.3606	.3775	.6756	.3815	.3821	.2130	.1715	.3444	.2639	.8071	.2984	.3401	.9955	.7441	.2051	0.2059	0.3051	0.1891	0.1728	0.2913
Q 4 = 13																				
β	0.6410	0.6770	0.09013	1.9322	3.3032	−1.1176	−0.01352	−0.06325	−0.1074	−0.04811	−0.2881	−12.9227	372.77	−1.1033	−2.5140	−1.3013	0.9909	2.8699	1.5052	1.3359
SE	1.4939	0.9985	0.08141	1.9117	5.3149	4.6831	0.01559	0.1278	0.1318	0.09591	0.1621	12.6936	812.08	1.8807	1.5284	0.9686	0.6445	1.5542	0.8172	1.8837
P	.6682	.4984	.2694	.3134	.5351	.8116	.3871	.6212	.4161	.6165	.0773	.3101	.6468	.5580	.1014	0.1805	0.1256	0.0662	0.0669	0.4790
Linear																				
β	0.1392	0.1192	0.008888	0.1134	0.3730	0.03805	−0.00059	−0.00209	−0.00559	0.000985	−0.01914	−0.7779	19.9854	−0.01698	−0.1517	−0.07694	0.06502	0.1870	0.09507	0.04891
SE	0.1296	0.08630	0.006994	0.1643	0.4560	0.4025	0.001349	0.01100	0.01136	0.008269	0.01391	1.0873	69.5831	0.1628	0.1330	0.08418	0.05590	0.1345	0.07116	0.1641
P	.2838	.1685	.2052	.4906	.4144	.9248	.6608	.8499	.6232	.9053	.1706	.4753	.7743	.9170	.2555	.3617	.2460	.1659	.1830	.7660

*Median values of breastfeeding duration in months at each quartile.

†Model includes breastfeeding duration quartiles as fixed effects and compound symmetry error matrix structure.

‡Additionally adjusted for the following fixed effects: mother's marital status, gestational age, and child's age and pubertal onset.

§P < .05.

Table IV. Associations between cardiometabolic health and quartiles of breastfeeding duration among girls

Breastfeeding duration (mo)*	SBP (mm Hg) n = 273	DBP (mm Hg) n = 273	Log-TG (mg/dl) n = 227	HDL-C (mg/dl) n = 227	TC (mg/dl) n = 196	LDL-C (mg/dl) n = 196	Log glucose (mg/dl) n = 227	Log insulin (μIU/mL) n = 189	Log HOMA-IR n = 189	Log C-peptide (ng/mL) n = 196	Leptin (ng/mL) n = 196	IGF-1 (ng/mL) n = 196	Adiponectin (ng/mL) n = 178	WC (cm) n = 273	Total fat (%) n = 219	Trunk fat (%) n = 220	Trunk lean (%) n = 219	Lean (%) n = 215	Skeletal muscle (%) n = 219	Fat-free (%) n = 206
Crude model†																				
Q 1 = 2	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)
Q 2 = 5																				
β	-0.6733	-0.7239	0.03991	1.2331	1.8696	0.2348	-0.01334	0.1480	0.1372	0.05495	-0.08668	-6.9347	-384.99	-0.1618	-0.2019	0.02533	0.2185	-0.3987	0.3325	0.2000
SE	1.2814	0.9234	0.06860	1.8437	4.6631	4.0491	0.01442	0.1138	0.1174	0.08205	2.8313	12.5716	756.28	1.8679	1.3742	0.8242	0.4944	1.3644	0.6874	1.6049
P	.5997	.4338	.5613	.5043	.6889	.9538	.3563	.1949	.2439	.5038	.9756	.5819	.6113	.9311	.8833	.9755	.6590	.7704	.6290	.9010
Q 3 = 8																				
β	-0.1085	-0.7143	0.000478	0.6479	3.9472	4.2014	0.01141	-0.05398	-0.0456	0.006440	-1.4401	-4.9379	-689.69	-0.1155	0.4126	0.5636	0.1481	-0.2349	-0.05074	-0.5550
SE	1.3625	0.9828	0.07268	1.9532	4.9175	4.2714	0.01526	0.1191	5 0.1231	0.08659	2.9799	13.2753	806.39	1.9823	1.4635	0.8775	0.5263	1.4724	0.7320	1.7031
P	.9366	.4680	.9948	.7404	.4232	.3266	.4556	.6509	.7111	.9408	.6295	.7104	.3935	.9536	.7783	.5214	.7786	.8734	.9448	.7448
Q 4 = 14																				
β	0.2936	0.4864	-0.03712	0.5511	2.2101	4.0294	-0.00290	0.09597	0.1047	0.008662	-2.7537	-1.8277	171.42	-1.4853	-2.0569	-0.7920	1.1446	1.9280	1.3650	2.5438
SE	1.2784	0.9219	0.06759	1.8161	4.6310	4.0225	0.01426	0.1122	0.1158	0.08154	2.8155	12.5021	758.81	1.8596	1.3910	0.8339	0.5004	1.3758	0.6958	1.6580
P	.8185	.5982	.5834	.7618	.6337	.3178	.8390	.3932	.3669	.9155	.3293	.8839	.8215	.4252	.1406	.3433	.0231§	.1626	.0511	.1264
Linear																				
β	0.03808	0.04957	-0.00388	0.02078	0.1650	0.3505	0.000201	0.003604	0.004650	-0.00056	-0.2312	-0.00583	17.7976	-0.1152	-0.1541	-0.05797	0.08678	0.1599	0.09967	0.1888
SE	0.09516	0.06874	0.005031	0.1352	0.3445	0.2994	0.001066	0.008342	0.008598	0.006060	0.2080	0.9241	56.4251	0.1384	0.1038	0.06224	0.03730	0.1027	0.05192	0.1238
P	.6893	.4715	.4416	.8780	.6326	.2433	.8506	.6662	.5892	.9262	.2678	.9950	.7528	.4062	.1390	.3527	.0209§	.1209	.0562	.1288
Adjusted model†																				
Q 1 = 2	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)	(Ref)
Q 2 = 5																				
β	-0.5166	-0.6648	0.03489	1.4840	1.5769	-1.5779	-0.01253	0.02934	0.01610	0.03231	-0.9019	-1.8255	-18.9146	-0.3782	-0.5612	-0.2558	0.2247	0.005438	0.4397	0.1401
SE	1.2939	0.9284	0.06921	1.8382	4.5970	4.0323	0.01463	0.1106	0.1154	0.07993	2.9088	12.0302	763.21	1.9748	1.3831	0.8320	0.4984	1.3513	0.6965	1.5829
P	.6900	.4746	.6147	.4203	.7320	.6960	.3928	.7911	.8892	.6865	.7569	.8796	.9803	.8483	.6853	.7588	.6526	.9968	.5285	.9296
Q 3 = 8																				
β	-0.00076	-0.6371	-0.00090	0.03838	2.4229	3.0944	0.01108	-0.01288	-0.0124	0.03990	-0.2394	-0.8638	-635.23	-0.3234	0.1941	0.3948	0.1367	-0.1433	0.006043	-0.8358
SE	1.3704	0.9844	0.07343	1.9495	4.8580	4.2607	0.01551	0.1167	6 0.1218	0.08447	3.0721	12.7252	815.14	2.0775	1.4683	0.8830	0.5290	1.4543	0.7394	1.6754
P	.9996	.5181	.9902	.9843	.6185	.4686	.4756	.9122	.9186	.6372	.9380	.9460	.4369	.8764	.8950	.7588	.7963	.9216	.9935	.6184
Q 4 = 14																				
β	0.5461	0.6135	-0.05704	1.1438	1.0516	1.6054	-0.00171	0.02054	0.02504	-0.00795	-2.8246	-2.6830	417.56	-1.4604	-2.2761	-0.9786	1.0869	2.1958	1.3928	2.2481
SE	1.3169	0.9457	0.06935	1.8390	4.6608	4.0877	0.01474	0.1113	0.1161	0.08104	2.9448	12.2133	784.45	1.9981	1.4376	0.8645	0.5180	1.4020	0.7240	1.6855
P	.6787	.5171	.4117	.5346	.8217	.6950	.9078	.8538	.8295	.9220	.3388	.8264	.5952	.4655	.1148	.2589	.0370§	.1188	.0557	.1837
Linear																				
β	0.05672	0.06058	-0.00544	0.05646	0.06685	0.1916	0.000284	0.000791	0.001522	-0.00106	-0.2059	-0.1760	28.9240	-0.1102	-0.1643	-0.06715	0.08180	0.1727	0.09940	0.1629
SE	0.09766	0.07026	0.005150	0.1367	0.3466	0.3048	0.001098	0.008199	0.008554	0.006029	0.2190	0.9027	58.3368	0.1482	0.1071	0.06445	0.03856	0.1045	0.05396	0.1259
P	.5619	.3894	.2923	.6800	.8473	.5304	.7963	.9232	.8590	.8606	.3485	.8456	.6207	.4579	.1265	.2986	.0350§	.0997	.0668	.1971

*Median values of breastfeeding duration in months at each quartile.

†Model includes breastfeeding duration quartiles as fixed effects and compound symmetry error matrix structure.

‡Additionally adjusted for the following fixed effects: mother's marital and parity status, mode of childbirth, and child's age and pubertal onset.

§P < .05.

After then, complementary foods are recommended to be introduced with the continuation of breastfeeding for 2 years and beyond.^{94,95} Moreover, the early introduction of solid foods might attenuate any potentially favorable effect for breastfeeding because it has been positively associated with the risk for obesity.⁸⁸ Therefore, we encourage educating parents on the importance of exclusive breastfeeding practices during the first 6 months of age and addressing any concerns about the incompleteness of breast milk. Future studies are needed to explore and understand the mothers' attitudes toward the completeness of breast milk to help plan culturally-sensitive intervention programs to promote exclusive breastfeeding in the first 6 months. Such public health initiatives would help in meeting the global target set by the World Health Assembly Resolution of raising exclusive breastfeeding to 50% or more by 2025.⁹⁶

We showed that breastfeeding duration was associated with several maternal characteristics during childbirth. Longer breastfeeding duration was reported among married mothers, which agrees with findings reported among different populations.^{97,98} Also, we showed that having multiple children was associated with longer breastfeeding duration, which was seen among Australian women.⁷⁶ We propose that having a previous child/children might increase the likelihood that mothers would be exposed to knowledge and skills about infant feeding practices because a higher rate of breastfeeding was positively associated with breastfeeding knowledge.⁹⁹ Lastly, the mode of delivery was associated with breastfeeding, where cesarean section correlated with shorter duration. Other researchers reported similar findings,¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰² and they recommended educating mothers who went through cesarean section delivery with skills and support to facilitate breastfeeding.¹⁰⁰

The current study has several strengths. Using a well-characterized birth cohort, ELEMENT, allowed for adjusting for multiple characteristics measured at offspring birth. Furthermore, our repeated assessments of the outcomes overcome the limitations in prior prospective studies that examined the outcome at one single point of time.^{30,31,33,36,38,43,45,46,52,56-63,65,66} Another strength is the prospective collection of breastfeeding information during early childhood, which reduces the likelihood of recall bias in estimating the breastfeeding duration. Having said that, we acknowledge that the time lag between the follow-up visits was not consistent across the three cohorts and the timing for the follow-up study was not designed primarily to capture the infant feeding practices. Despite these limitations, our breastfeeding duration was associated with some of the maternal characteristics,^{76,97-102} and our conclusions were consistent with the majority of the studies conducted on this topic.^{25,38,52-70} Moreover, our analysis was not limited to cardiometabolic risk factors, but we also included an assessment of body composition and body weight-related biomarkers to expand on the potential underlying mechanisms for cardiometabolic abnormalities at a young age. Lastly, sex-stratified analysis was conducted to acknowledge the sex differences in cardiometabolic health during the pubertal transition.

Despite these strengths, the study has several limitations. Our breastfeeding duration could not infer any information about the exclusiveness of breastfeeding. However, we investigated the role of introducing a few foods, drinks, or infant formula as sensitivity analyses, and we showed the introduction of solid foods did not alter our findings. Another limitation is that our assessment of breastfeeding duration does not entail assessing the mode of feeding (ie, actual breastfeeding versus bottle, cup, or syringe feeding of human milk), which might influence the growth trajectory. Given these limitations, we acknowledge the possibility of misclassification in assessing breastfeeding duration, and higher breastfeeding duration cannot necessarily be interpreted as higher breastfeeding intensity because we did not assess the proportion of breastfeeding out of that total feedings given. To lessen the impact of exposure misclassification, we examined the linear trend across nonparametric quartiles of breastfeeding duration. Furthermore, the possibility of residual confounding could not be ruled out due to crude assessment of covariates or unmeasured confounding for cardiometabolic health, such as a family history of chronic diseases, and maternal prepregnancy weight and lifestyle practices, or adolescent behaviors such as smoking or alcohol use. Furthermore, while premature birth may be a confounder in the association between breastfeeding and later cardiometabolic, a very small number of infants born before 37 weeks of gestation limited our capacity to consider this covariate. Also, the possibility of reverse causation in our conclusion is valid because of the bidirectional relationship between infant feeding and weight gain, and growth pattern.⁶¹ Lastly, our conclusions might not be generalized to all Mexican youth or youths with Mexican heritage who live outside of Mexico City due to the influence of the population's confounding structure on the association between breastfeeding and cardiometabolic health.⁴⁰

In conclusion, we report some evidence for sex-specific associations of breastfeeding duration with body composition, but overall, a largely null relationship between breastfeeding duration and cardiometabolic health in a sample of Mexican youth using a longitudinal design with repeated measures. Our findings supplement the existing knowledge on the long-term benefits of breastfeeding on Mexican youth cardiometabolic health using a population from a low-to-middle-income country that is susceptible to cardiometabolic abnormalities, especially given that they have been shown to have insulin resistance while having a normal weight.¹⁰³ Further investigations are needed to expand on the knowledge using well-designed prospective studies among different pediatric populations. Moreover, we recommend future studies employ robust assessment methods for breastfeeding and other feeding practices^{39,51} to overcome the misclassifications in our crude breastfeeding assessment. ■

Declaration of Competing Interest

This study was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (RD83480019, RD83543601) and the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences

(NIEHS) (P20 ES018171, P01 ES02284401), including funding for research staff, study design, recruitment, data collection, and data analysis. Research staff were also supported and partially funded by the National Institute of Public Health/Ministry of Health of Mexico.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Submitted for publication May 18, 2023; last revision received Sep 4, 2023; accepted Sep 29, 2023.

Reprint requests: Karen E. Peterson, DSc, University of Michigan, School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029. E-mail: karenep@umich.edu

Data Statement

Data sharing statement available at www.jpeds.com.

References

- Krol KM, Grossmann T. Psychological effects of breastfeeding on children and mothers. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforsch - Gesundheitsschutz* 2018;61:977-85.
- Centers for Disease Control. *Prevention and The United States Department of Health and Human Services*. Accessed November 8, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/breastfeeding/pdf/breastfeeding-cdcs-work-508.pdf> and <https://www.cdc.gov/breastfeeding/about-breastfeeding/why-it-matters.html#print>
- Zhang S, Li T, Xie J, Zhang D, Pi C, Zhou L, et al. Gold standard for nutrition: a review of human milk oligosaccharide and its effects on infant gut microbiota. *Microb Cell Fact* 2021;20:108.
- Walker A. Breast milk as the gold standard for protective nutrients. *J Pediatr* 2010;156:S3-7.
- Raju TN. Breastfeeding is a dynamic biological process—not simply a meal at the breast. *Breastfeed Med* 2011;6:257-9.
- Pietrobelli A, Agosti M. Nutrition in the first 1000 Days: Ten practices to Minimize obesity Emerging from published Science. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017;14.
- Tavares Giannini D, Caetano Kuschnir MC, Szklo M. Metabolic syndrome in overweight and obese adolescents: a comparison of two different diagnostic criteria. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2014;64:71-9.
- Reinehr T, de Sousa G, Toschke AM, Andler W. Comparison of metabolic syndrome prevalence using eight different definitions: a critical approach. *Arch Dis Child* 2007;92:1067-72.
- Sommer A, Twig G. The impact of childhood and adolescent obesity on cardiovascular risk in adulthood: a systematic review. *Curr Diab Rep* 2018;18:91.
- Chen X, Wang Y. Tracking of blood pressure from childhood to adulthood: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Circulation* 2008;117:3171-80.
- Hong YM. Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease beginning in childhood. *Korean Circ J* 2010;40:1-9.
- Berenson GS, Srinivasan SR, Bao W, Newman WP, Tracy RE, Wattigney WA. Association between multiple cardiovascular risk factors and atherosclerosis in children and young adults. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:1650-6.
- Sinha R, Fisch G, Teague B, Tamborlane WV, Banyas B, Allen K, et al. Prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance among children and adolescents with marked obesity. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:802-10.
- Marcovecchio ML, Patricelli L, Zito M, Capanna R, Ciampani M, Chiarelli F, et al. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in obese children: role of insulin resistance. *J Hypertens* 2006;24:2431-6.
- D'adamo E, Impicciatore M, Capanna R, Marcovecchio ML, Masuccio FG, Chiarelli F, et al. Liver steatosis in obese prepubertal children: a possible role of insulin resistance. *Obesity* 2008;16:677-83.
- Giannini C, D'adamo E, Chiavaroli V, de Giorgis T, Di Iorio C, et al. Influence of the Mediterranean diet on carotid intima-media thickness in hypercholesterolaemic children: a 12-month intervention study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;24:75-82.
- Rodrigues AN, Abreu GR, Resende RS, Goncalves WL, Gouveia SA. Cardiovascular risk factor investigation: a pediatric issue. *Int J Gen Med* 2013;6:57-66.
- Nicklas T, Von Duvillard S, Berenson G. Tracking of serum lipids and lipoproteins from childhood to dyslipidemia in adults: the Bogalusa heart study. *Int J Sports Med* 2002;23:39-43.
- Morrison JA, Friedman LA, Wang P, Glueck CJ. Metabolic syndrome in childhood predicts adult metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus 25 to 30 years later. *J Pediatr* 2008;152:201-6.
- Flouris AD, Bouziotas C, Christodoulos A, Koutedakis Y. Longitudinal preventive-screening cutoffs for metabolic syndrome in adolescents. *Int J Obes* 2008;32:1506.
- Juhola J, Magnussen CG, Viikari JS, Kähönen M, Hutri-Kähönen N, Jula A, et al. Tracking of serum lipid levels, blood pressure, and body mass index from childhood to adulthood: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *J Pediatr* 2011;159:584-90.
- Eloranta AM, Schwab U, Venalainen T, Kiiskinen S, Lakka HM, Laaksonen DE, et al. Dietary quality indices in relation to cardiometabolic risk among Finnish children aged 6-8 years - the PANIC study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2016;26:833-41.
- Woo Baidal JA, Locks LM, Cheng ER, Blake-Lamb TL, Perkins ME, Taveras EM. Risk factors for childhood obesity in the first 1,000 Days: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2016;50.
- Marsegla L, Manti S, D'Angelo G, Cuppari C, Salpietro V, Filippelli M, et al. Obesity and breastfeeding: the strength of association. *Women Birth* 2015;28:81-6.
- Horta BL, Loret de Mola C, Victora CG. Long-term consequences of breastfeeding on cholesterol, obesity, systolic blood pressure and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Paediatr* 2015;104.
- Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, França GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and life-long effect. *Lancet* 2016;387:475-90.
- Moreno MA, Furtner F, Rivara FP. Breastfeeding as obesity prevention. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2011;165:772.
- Martin RM, Ben-Shlomo Y, Gunnell D, Elwood P, Yarnell JW, Davey Smith G. Breast feeding and cardiovascular disease risk factors, incidence, and mortality: the Caerphilly study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2005;59:121-9.
- Amorim Rde J, Coelho AF, de Lira PI, Lima Mde C. Is breastfeeding protective for blood pressure in schoolchildren? A cohort study in northeast Brazil. *Breastfeed Med* 2014;9:149-56.
- Hosaka M, Asayama K, Staessen JA, Ohkubo T, Hayashi K, Tatsuta N, et al. Breastfeeding leads to lower blood pressure in 7-year-old Japanese children: Tohoku study of child development. *Hypertens Res* 2013;36:117-22.
- Lawlor DA, Najman JM, Sterne J, Williams GM, Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. Associations of parental, birth, and early life characteristics with systolic blood pressure at 5 years of age: findings from the Mater-University study of pregnancy and its outcomes. *Circulation* 2004;110:2417-23.
- Martin RM, Gunnell D, Smith GD. Breastfeeding in infancy and blood pressure in later life: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;161:15-26.
- Martin RM, Ness AR, Gunnell D, Emmett P, Davey Smith G. Does breast-feeding in infancy lower blood pressure in childhood? The Avon longitudinal study of parents and children (ALSPAC). *Circulation* 2004;109:1259-66.
- Owen CG, Whincup PH, Gilg JA, Cook DG. Effect of breast feeding in infancy on blood pressure in later life: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2003;327:1189-95.
- Liu J, Gao D, Li Y, Chen M, Wang X, Ma Q, et al. Breastfeeding duration and high blood pressure in children and adolescents: results from a cross-sectional study of seven provinces in China. *Nutrients* 2022;14:3152.
- Miliku K, Moraes TJ, Becker AB, Mandhane PJ, Sears MR, Turvey SE, et al. Breastfeeding in the first Days of life is associated with lower blood pressure at 3 Years of age. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2021;10:e019067.

37. Wong PD, Anderson LN, Dai DDW, Parkin PC, Maguire JL, Birken CS. The association of breastfeeding duration and early childhood cardio-metabolic risk. *J Pediatr* 2018;192:80-5.e1.
38. Pluymen LPM, Wijga AH, Gehring U, Koppelman GH, Smit HA, van Rossem L. Breastfeeding and cardiometabolic markers at age 12: a population-based birth cohort study. *Int J Obes* 2019;43:1568-77.
39. Güngör D, Nadaud P, LaPergola CC, Dreibelbis C, Wong YP, Terry N, et al. Infant milk-feeding practices and cardiovascular disease outcomes in offspring: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2019;109:800s-16s.
40. Brion MJ, Lawlor DA, Matijasevich A, Horta B, Anselmi L, Araújo CL, et al. What are the causal effects of breastfeeding on IQ, obesity and blood pressure? Evidence from comparing high-income with middle-income cohorts. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:670-80.
41. Plagemann AH, Thomas H. Breast feeding and the risk of obesity and related metabolic diseases in the child. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2005;3:222-32.
42. Plancoulaine S, Charles MA, Lafay L, Tauber M, Thibault N, Borys JM, et al. Infant-feeding patterns are related to blood cholesterol concentration in prepubertal children aged 5-11 y: the Fleurbaix-Laventie Ville Santé study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2000;54:114-9.
43. Thorsdottir I, Gunnarsdottir I, Palsson GI. Association of birth weight and breast-feeding with coronary heart disease risk factors at the age of 6 years. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2003;13:267-72.
44. Umer A, Hamilton C, Edwards RA, Cottrell L, Giacobbi P Jr, Innes K, et al. Association between breastfeeding and childhood cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Matern Child Health J* 2019;23:228-39.
45. Ramirez-Silva I, Rivera JA, Trejo-Valdivia B, Martorell R, Stein AD, Romieu I, et al. Breastfeeding status at age 3 months is associated with adiposity and cardiometabolic markers at age 4 years in Mexican children. *J Nutr* 2015;145:1295-302.
46. Folić N, Folić M, Marković S, Andjelković M, Janković S. Risk factors for the development of metabolic syndrome in obese children and adolescents. *Srp Arh Celok Lek* 2015;143:146-52.
47. González-Jiménez E, Montero-Alonso MA, Schmidt-RioValle J, García-García CJ, Padez C. Metabolic syndrome in Spanish adolescents and its association with birth weight, breastfeeding duration, maternal smoking, and maternal obesity: a cross-sectional study. *Eur J Nutr* 2015;54:589-97.
48. Wang SQ, Liu YJ, Zhan J, Liu XL, Feng Q, Gong J, et al. Determinants of metabolic syndrome in Chinese schoolchildren. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2015;27:Np674-80.
49. Wang J, Zhu Y, Cai L, Jing J, Chen Y, Mai J, et al. Metabolic syndrome and its associated early-life factors in children and adolescents: a cross-sectional study in Guangzhou, China. *Public Health Nutr* 2016;19:1147-54.
50. Khuc K, Blanco E, Burrows R, Reyes M, Castillo M, Lozoff B, et al. Adolescent metabolic syndrome risk is increased with higher infancy weight gain and decreased with longer breast feeding. *Int J Pediatr* 2012;2012:478610.
51. Wisniewski L, Kerver J, Holzman C, Todem D, Margerison-Zilko C. Breastfeeding and risk of metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *J Hum Lact* 2018;34:515-25.
52. Bekkers MB, Brunekreef B, Smit HA, Kerkhof M, Koppelman GH, Oldenwening M, et al. Early-life determinants of total and HDL cholesterol concentrations in 8-year-old children; the PIAMA birth cohort study. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e25533.
53. Izadi V, Kelishadi R, Qorbani M, Esmailmotlagh M, Taslimi M, Heshmat R, et al. Duration of breast-feeding and cardiovascular risk factors among Iranian children and adolescents: the CASPIAN III study. *Nutrition* 2013;29:744-51.
54. Owen CG, Whincup PH, Odoki K, Gilg JA, Cook DG. Infant feeding and blood cholesterol: a study in adolescents and a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:597-608.
55. Owen CG, Whincup PH, Kaye SJ, Martin RM, Davey Smith G, Cook DG, et al. Does initial breastfeeding lead to lower blood cholesterol in adult life? A quantitative review of the evidence. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;88:305-14.
56. de Jonge LL, van Osch-Gevers L, Geelhoed JJM, Hofman A, Steegers EAP, Helbing WA, et al. Breastfeeding is not associated with left cardiac structures and blood pressure during the first two years of life. The Generation R Study. *Early Hum Dev* 2010;86:463-8.
57. Fall CH, Borja JB, Osmond C, Richter L, Bhargava SK, Martorell R, et al. Infant-feeding patterns and cardiovascular risk factors in young adulthood: data from five cohorts in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010;40:47-62.
58. Horta BL, Victora CG, Lima RC, Gonçalves H, Guimarães BE, Barros FC. Breastfeeding duration and blood pressure among Brazilian adolescents. *Acta Paediatr* 2006;95:325-31.
59. Kramer MS. "Breast is best": the evidence. *Early Hum Dev* 2010;86:729-32.
60. Kramer MS, Matush L, Vanilovich I, Platt RW, Bogdanovich N, Sevkovskaya Z, et al. Effects of prolonged and exclusive breastfeeding on child height, weight, adiposity, and blood pressure at age 6.5 y: evidence from a large randomized trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86:1717-21.
61. Martin RM, Patel R, Kramer MS, Vilchuck K, Bogdanovich N, Sergeichuk N, et al. Effects of promoting longer-term and exclusive breastfeeding on cardiometabolic risk factors at age 11.5 years: a cluster-randomized, controlled trial. *Circulation* 2014;129:321-9.
62. Kwok MK, Leung GM, Schooling CM. Breastfeeding and adolescent blood pressure: evidence from Hong Kong's "Children of 1997" Birth Cohort. *Am J Epidemiol* 2013;178:928-36.
63. Martin RM, Kramer MS, Patel R, Rifas-Shiman SL, Thompson J, Yang S, et al. Effects of promoting long-term, exclusive breastfeeding on adolescent adiposity, blood pressure, and growth trajectories: a secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr* 2017;171:e170698.
64. Hassan NE, El-Masry SA, El Batrawy SR, Khalil A, Ali MM, Al Tohamy M, et al. Relationship between breast feeding duration and risk of overweight/obesity among Egyptian children. *Gaz Egypt Paediatr Assoc* 2018;66:9-14.
65. Orlandi SP, González-Chica DA, Buffarini R, Gonzalez MC, Menezes AMB, Barros FC, et al. Breastfeeding and complementary feeding associated with body composition in 18-19 years old adolescents in the 1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort. *BMC Nutr* 2017;3:84.
66. Martin RM, Patel R, Kramer MS, Guthrie L, Vilchuck K, Bogdanovich N, et al. Effects of promoting longer-term and exclusive breastfeeding on adiposity and insulin-like growth factor-I at age 11.5 years: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013;309:1005-13.
67. Yakubov R, Nadir E, Stein R, Klein-Kremer A. The duration of breastfeeding and its association with metabolic syndrome among obese children. *Sci World J* 2015;2015:731319.
68. Jamoussi H, Mahjoub F, Sallemi H, Berriche O, Ounaissa K, Amrouche C, et al. Metabolic syndrome in Tunisian obese children and adolescents. *Tunis Med* 2012;90:36-40.
69. Esfarjani F, Khalafi M, Mohammadi F, Zamani-Nour N, Kelishad R. Metabolic Syndrome and its determinants in a sample of young Iranian children with obesity. *Pak J Med Sci* 2013;29.
70. Ekelund U, Anderssen S, Andersen LB, Riddoch CJ, Sardinha LB, Luan J, et al. Prevalence and correlates of the metabolic syndrome in a population-based sample of European youth. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;89:90-6.
71. Lewis RC, Meeker JD, Peterson KE, Lee JM, Pace GG, Cantoral A, et al. Predictors of urinary bisphenol A and phthalate metabolite concentrations in Mexican children. *Chemosphere* 2013;93:2390-8.
72. Ettinger AS, Lamadrid-Figueroa H, Mercado-García A, Kordas K, Wood RJ, Peterson KE, et al. Effect of calcium supplementation on bone resorption in pregnancy and the early postpartum: a randomized controlled trial in Mexican women. *Nutr J* 2014;13:116.
73. Perng W, Tamayo-Ortiz M, Tang L, Sánchez BN, Cantoral A, Meeker JD, et al. Early life exposure in Mexico to ENvironmental Tox-icants (ELEMENT) project. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e030427.
74. Wu Y, Goodrich JM, Dolinoy DC, Sanchez BN, Ruiz-Narvaez EA, Banker M, et al. Accelerometer-measured physical activity, reproductive hormones, and DNA methylation. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2020;52:598-607.

75. Rito AI, Buoncristiano M, Spinelli A, Salanave B, Kunešová M, Hejgaard T, et al. Association between characteristics at birth, breastfeeding and obesity in 22 countries: the WHO European childhood obesity Surveillance initiative - COSI 2015/2017. *Obes Facts* 2019;12:226-43.
76. Burke V, Beilin LJ, Simmer K, Oddy WH, Blake KV, Doherty D, et al. Breastfeeding and overweight: longitudinal analysis in an Australian birth cohort. *J Pediatr* 2005;147:56-61.
77. Betanzos-Robledo L, Rodríguez-Carmona Y, Contreras-Manzano A, Lamadrid-Figueroa H, Jansen E, Téllez-Rojo MM, et al. Greater cumulative exposure to a pro-inflammatory diet is associated with higher metabolic syndrome score and blood pressure in young Mexican adults. *Nutr Res* 2020;81:81-9.
78. Perng W, Fernandez C, Peterson KE, Zhang Z, Cantoral A, Sanchez BN, et al. Dietary patterns Exhibit sex-specific associations with adiposity and metabolic risk in a cross-sectional study in Urban Mexican adolescents. *J Nutr* 2017;147:1977-85.
79. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972;18:499-502.
80. Bonora E, Formentini G, Calcaterra F, Lombardi S, Marini F, Zenari L, et al. HOMA-estimated insulin resistance is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetic subjects: prospective data from the Verona diabetes complications study. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:1135-41.
81. Kasper N, Peterson KE, Zhang Z, Ferguson KK, Sánchez BN, Cantoral A, et al. Association of bisphenol A exposure with breastfeeding and perceived insufficient milk supply in Mexican women. *Matern Child Health J* 2016;20:1713-9.
82. Marshall WA, Tanner JM. Variations in pattern of pubertal changes in girls. *Arch Dis Childh* 1969;44:291.
83. Marshall WA, Tanner JM. Variations in the pattern of pubertal changes in boys. *Arch Dis Childh* 1970;45:13-23.
84. Chavarro JE, Watkins DJ, Afeiche MC, Zhang Z, Sánchez BN, Cantonwine D, et al. Validity of self-assessed sexual maturation against physician assessments and hormone levels. *J Pediatr* 2017;186:172-8.e3.
85. LaBarre JL, Peterson KE, Kachman MT, Perng W, Tang L, Hao W, et al. Mitochondrial nutrient Utilization underlying the association between Metabolites and insulin resistance in adolescents. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2020;105:dga260.
86. Aljahdali AA, Peterson KE, Cantoral A, Ruiz-Narvaez E, Téllez-Rojo MM, Kim HM, et al. Diet quality Scores and cardiometabolic risk factors in Mexican children and adolescents: a longitudinal analysis. *Nutrients* 2022;14:896.
87. Aljahdali AA, Baylin A, Ruiz-Narvaez EA, Kim HM, Cantoral A, Téllez-Rojo MM, et al. Sedentary patterns and cardiometabolic risk factors in Mexican children and adolescents: analysis of longitudinal data. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2022;19:143.
88. Durmuş B, Heppe DH, Gishti O, Manniesing R, Abrahamse-Berkeveld M, van der Beek EM, et al. General and abdominal fat outcomes in school-age children associated with infant breastfeeding patterns. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;99:1351-8.
89. Pearce J, Taylor MA, Langley-Evans SC. Timing of the introduction of complementary feeding and risk of childhood obesity: a systematic review. *Int J Obes* 2013;37:1295-306.
90. Bell KA, Wagner CL, Feldman HA, Shypailo RJ, Belfort MB. Associations of infant feeding with trajectories of body composition and growth. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2017;106:491-8.
91. Sen Y, Kandemir N, Alikasifoglu A, Gonc N, Ozon A. Prevalence and risk factors of metabolic syndrome in obese children and adolescents: the role of the severity of obesity. *Eur J Pediatr* 2008;167:1183-9.
92. Cantoral A, Téllez-Rojo MM, Ettinger AS, Hu H, Hernández-Ávila M, Peterson K. Early introduction and cumulative consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages during the pre-school period and risk of obesity at 8-14 years of age. *Pediatr Obes* 2016;11:68-74.
93. Robinson SM, Marriott LD, Crozier SR, Harvey NC, Gale CR, Inskip HM, et al. Variations in infant feeding practice are associated with body composition in childhood: a prospective cohort study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009;94:2799-805.
94. Meek JY, Noble L. Technical report: breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics* 2022;150:e2022057989.
95. Meek JY, Noble L, Section on Breastfeeding. Policy statement: breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics* 2022;150:e2022057988.
96. Organization WH. Global targets 2025 to improve maternal, infant and young children nutrition. World Health Organization; 2017. Accessed November 8, 2023. https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/149018/WHO_NMH_NHD_14.2_eng.pdf?sequence=1
97. Mamo K, Dengia T, Abubeker A, Girmaye E. Assessment of exclusive breastfeeding practice and associated factors among mothers in west Shoa Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. *Obstet Gynecol Int* 2020;2020:3965873.
98. Dagher RK, McGovern PM, Schold JD, Randall XJ. Determinants of breastfeeding initiation and cessation among employed mothers: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016;16:194.
99. Hao Y, Wang L, Wang C, Peng A, Gao W, Marc I, et al. Breastfeeding practices and associated factors in Shanghai: A cross-sectional study. *Nutrients* 2022;14:4429.
100. Chen C, Yan Y, Gao X, Xiang S, He Q, Zeng G, et al. Influences of cesarean delivery on breastfeeding practices and duration: a prospective cohort study. *J Hum Lact* 2018;34:526-34.
101. Li L, Wan W, Zhu C. Breastfeeding after a cesarean section: a literature review. *Midwifery* 2021;103:103117.
102. Hobbs AJ, Mannion CA, McDonald SW, Brockway M, Tough SC. The impact of caesarean section on breastfeeding initiation, duration and difficulties in the first four months postpartum. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016;16:90.
103. Barbosa-Cortes L, Villasis-Keever MA, Del Prado-Manriquez M, Lopez-Alarcon M. Adiposity and insulin resistance in children from a Rural community in Mexico. *Arch Med Res* 2015;46:214-20.